The International Journal of Business Management and Technology, Volume 10 Issue 02 February 2026
ISSN: 2581-3889

Has the Flynn Effect Reversed? Environmental Cognitive Restructuring and the Academic Performance of Generation Z

Henrique de Castro Neves[1], Keli Cristina de Lima Neves[2]
[1]*Fundação Dom Cabral*
[2]*BR Quality Laboratório e Consultoria*

Abstract: *For over a century, intelligence metrics appeared to rise steadily across generations, a phenomenon widely known as the Flynn Effect. Recent declines in international academic performance, particularly those reported in PISA 2022, have renewed debate over whether this historical trend has reversed and whether Generation Z is experiencing genuine cognitive decline. This study evaluates competing explanations: a biological decline hypothesis and an environmental performance-impairment hypothesis. Using an integrative theoretical approach, the analysis synthesizes psychometric intelligence research, international assessment data, cognitive load theory, executive function studies, dual-process models, cognitive offloading research, and psychosocial frameworks addressing anxiety and self-efficacy. While academic performance has declined structurally across several OECD countries, evidence for widespread biological regression remains inconclusive. Convergent findings instead suggest that digital multitasking, increased extraneous cognitive load, diminished deep reading engagement, reliance on external memory systems, and heightened psychosocial stress may impair sustained attention and executive functioning, thereby constraining applied academic performance without reducing latent intelligence. The study proposes an environmental cognitive constraint model in which intelligence remains relatively stable but its measurable expression is shaped by contemporary digital ecosystems.*

Keywords: Generation Z, Flynn Effect, PISA 2022, Brain Rot, Cognitive Decline.

I. INTRODUCTION

For more than a century, intelligence has occupied a central position in psychological, educational, and social scientific research. Early pioneers such as Galton (1869) laid the statistical groundwork for measuring individual differences in cognitive ability, while subsequent psychometric developments operationalized intelligence as a general factor, commonly referred to as g. Across much of the twentieth century, standardized intelligence testing revealed a striking and consistent pattern: average IQ scores increased from one generation to the next. Flynn (1987) systematically documented these intergenerational gains across multiple nations, arguing that modern societies increasingly rewarded abstract, hypothetical, and scientific reasoning. This pattern, later termed the Flynn Effect, was widely interpreted as evidence that environmental enrichment – expanded formal education, urbanization, technological complexity, and improved public health – enhanced cognitive performance at a population level.

Subsequent analyses reinforced this interpretation. Flynn (2007, 2012) argued that rising IQ scores reflected shifts toward analytic thinking styles rather than biological change, emphasizing that intelligence is deeply responsive to environmental context. Ritchie (2016) similarly highlights the stability of intelligence as a predictive construct while acknowledging its sensitivity to cultural and educational factors. However, in recent decades, concerns have emerged regarding the potential plateauing or reversal of IQ gains in several developed nations. Woodley et al. (2013) controversially suggested that reaction-time data might indicate long-term cognitive slowing relative to Victorian-era samples, sparking debate over whether the Reverse Flynn Effect reflects biological, environmental, or methodological influences. Although the biological decline hypothesis remains contested, the broader question of whether contemporary environments are altering cognitive trajectories has gained renewed urgency.

This urgency intensified following the release of the OECD's PISA 2022 results, which documented the largest decline in mathematics performance since the assessment's inception in 2000, alongside significant declines in reading across OECD countries (OECD, 2023). Importantly, the OECD reports that downward trends in reading and science were observable prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, suggesting that school closures alone cannot explain the magnitude of decline. Because PISA evaluates applied reasoning, deep reading comprehension, and problem-solving in novel contexts, its findings raise concerns regarding the conditions under which cognitive abilities are expressed in educational environments.

The distinction between latent intelligence and applied academic performance is therefore critical. Intelligence tests administered under standardized conditions measure general cognitive potential, whereas international assessments capture the interaction between cognitive capacity, attentional engagement, and instructional context. Henss (2024) demonstrates strong correlations between national IQ estimates and international assessment performance, reinforcing the conceptual linkage between these domains. Yet declines in applied performance do not automatically imply biological cognitive regression. Instead, they may reflect environmental transformations that affect attentional architecture and executive functioning.

One of the most profound transformations of the twenty-first century has been the restructuring of cognitive ecology through digital hyperconnectivity. Generation Z – typically defined as individuals born between the mid-1990s and early 2010s – represents the first cohort to experience adolescence within a fully saturated smartphone and social media ecosystem. Twenge (2017) documents dramatic shifts in adolescent behavior, mental health indicators, and screen-time exposure beginning around 2012, coinciding with widespread smartphone adoption. Carr (2011) argued that the Internet reshapes neural pathways by privileging rapid skimming over sustained reading, while Wolf (2018) warns that digital reading environments may erode the deep reading circuitry necessary for inferential reasoning and empathy.

Empirical research supports concerns regarding attentional fragmentation. Ophir et al. (2009) demonstrated that heavy media multitaskers exhibit poorer cognitive control and reduced filtering efficiency compared to light multitaskers. Kirschner and De Bruyckere (2017) challenged the myth of the "digital native," showing that multitasking impairs learning and increases cognitive load. From a cognitive architecture perspective, Sweller's (1988) cognitive load theory explains how extraneous load – such as constant digital interruptions – reduces learning efficiency by overwhelming working memory. Working memory, as conceptualized by Baddeley (2012) and further refined by Cowan (2010), is a limited-capacity system essential for reasoning and comprehension. When attentional cycles are repeatedly interrupted, encoding into long-term memory becomes less efficient.

In addition to attentional fragmentation, digital environments facilitate cognitive offloading. Sparrow et al. (2011) demonstrated that individuals are less likely to retain information when they expect it to be externally accessible, a phenomenon sometimes referred to as the "Google effect." Such reliance on external memory systems may reduce the necessity of internal consolidation, potentially influencing how knowledge is structured and retrieved. Kahneman's (2011) dual-process theory provides further interpretative clarity. Digital platforms reward fast, intuitive, stimulus-driven processing (System 1), while academic reasoning requires slow, deliberate, analytic engagement (System 2). If contemporary environments increasingly reinforce rapid-reactive cognition, the sustained analytical processing required for PISA-style tasks may be undermined.

The digital transformation of cognition intersects with psychosocial changes. Haidt (2024) and Neves (2025) describe Generation Z as developing within an environment characterized by overprotective parenting, social media comparison dynamics, and heightened anxiety. Bandura's (1997) theory of self-efficacy suggests that diminished confidence in one's capacity to manage challenges reduces persistence in cognitively demanding tasks. Chronic anxiety consumes working memory resources, reducing cognitive bandwidth available for complex reasoning. Gloria Mark's (2023) longitudinal research on attention further indicates that the average duration of sustained focus on a single screen-based task has decreased over time, reflecting structural attentional shifts rather than individual weakness.

The convergence of these developments – digital multitasking, cognitive load amplification, executive function strain, cognitive offloading, and emotional dysregulation – creates a theoretical framework within which declining academic performance may be interpreted without invoking biological cognitive decline. At the same time, the empirical reality of PISA 2022 declines (OECD, 2023) demands explanation. The central question, therefore, is not whether intelligence exists as a stable construct, but whether contemporary ecological conditions constrain its optimal expression.

This article addresses that question by integrating psychometric intelligence research, international assessment data, digital cognitive restructuring theory, and psychosocial developmental frameworks. Rather than endorsing generational narratives of intellectual regression, the study proposes a performance-impairment hypothesis: that environmental saturation – particularly algorithm-driven digital ecosystems – may alter attentional architecture and executive functioning in ways that reduce measurable academic performance without diminishing underlying cognitive potential.

By situating contemporary assessment declines within the broader historical trajectory of intelligence research and digital transformation, this study seeks to clarify whether the apparent reversal of the Flynn Effect reflects biological regression or ecological restructuring. The distinction is not merely theoretical; it has profound implications for education policy, technological governance, and the cultivation of cognitive resilience in the digital age.

II. RESEARCH PROBLEMS AND OBJECTIVES

2.1. Contextual Framing of the Research Problem

As discussed in the Introduction, intelligence research throughout the twentieth century documented sustained intergenerational gains in measured cognitive ability, a phenomenon systematically described as the Flynn Effect (Flynn, 1987, 2007). Flynn (2012) further argued that these gains reflected environmental shifts toward abstract analytical reasoning rather than biological evolution. However, recent debates regarding potential stagnation or reversal of IQ gains – sometimes referred to as the Reverse Flynn Effect – have introduced renewed complexity into intelligence research. Woodley et al. (2013), for example, suggested that certain cognitive indicators such as reaction time may reflect long-term decline, although interpretations of such findings remain contested. These debates underscore the importance of distinguishing between biological decline and environmental performance shifts when interpreting contemporary data.

In parallel, the OECD's PISA 2022 results documented the largest decline in mathematics performance since 2000, alongside significant decreases in reading proficiency across OECD nations (OECD, 2023). Notably, the OECD reports that downward reading trends were observable prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, indicating structural rather than purely episodic disruption. These findings emerge within a broader ecological transformation characterized by smartphone saturation, algorithm-driven media platforms, and AI-mediated information access. Twenge (2017) identifies 2012 as a pivotal inflection point in adolescent development patterns corresponding to widespread smartphone adoption, while Carr (2011) and Wolf (2018) argue that digital reading environments may alter neural circuitry associated with deep comprehension.

At the same time, cognitive science research demonstrates that multitasking reduces cognitive control (Ophir et al., 2009) and that extraneous cognitive load impairs learning efficiency (Sweller, 1988). From a dual-process perspective, Kahneman (2011) differentiates between fast, intuitive processing and slow, analytical reasoning, suggesting that environments privileging rapid stimulus-response cycles may weaken sustained analytical engagement. These converging theoretical insights intensify the central paradox motivating this research: while intelligence historically increased across generations, applied academic performance indicators are now showing measurable decline.

The central research problem therefore arises from the need to clarify whether these declines reflect a genuine reduction in cognitive ability or a transformation in the environmental conditions under which cognitive potential is expressed.

Addressing this paradox requires disentangling three interrelated but distinct constructs:

- **(a) Latent intelligence (g)** – cognitive potential measured through psychometric methods.
- **(b) Academic performance** – applied reasoning measured through assessments such as PISA.
- **(c) Cognitive performance expression** – the interaction between latent capacity and environmental, attentional, and emotional conditions.

Maintaining this distinction is essential to prevent conflating environmental constraints with biological regression.

2.2. Core Research Problem

The primary research problem guiding this study can therefore be articulated as follows: **is the observed decline in international academic performance among Generation Z indicative of a true cognitive decline, or does it reflect environmental, behavioral, and psychosocial factors that impair the expression of cognitive ability?**

This problem emerges directly from the convergence of several independent literatures. Psychometric intelligence research highlights the historical trajectory of rising IQ scores (Flynn, 1987, 2007; Henss, 2024). International assessment research documents recent performance declines (OECD, 2023). Digital cognitive restructuring theory emphasizes the effects of media multitasking and algorithmic engagement on attentional systems (Degen, 2025; Ophir et al., 2009). Psychosocial frameworks suggest that heightened anxiety may reduce cognitive bandwidth (Neves, 2025), while Bandura's (1997) theory of self-efficacy indicates that diminished confidence in managing complex tasks may reduce persistence and performance. Finally, emerging constructs such as "brain rot" (Yousef et al., 2025) propose behavioral patterns associated with executive function impairment.

Taken together, these strands necessitate a structured research inquiry capable of evaluating whether performance decline is biological, environmental, or interactional in nature

2.3. Specific Research Question

To operationalize this broader problem, the study addresses the following interconnected research questions:

I. To what extent do PISA 2022 declines represent structural and pre-pandemic trends rather than temporary disruptions? (OECD, 2023)
II. Can declining academic performance be interpreted as declining intelligence, or must these constructs be analytically separated in light of psychometric theory and Reverse Flynn debates? (Flynn, 2007, 2012; Henss, 2024; Woodley et al., 2013)
III. How do digital behaviors – such as multitasking, doom scrolling, and algorithmic content exposure – affect sustained attention, working memory, and executive functioning? (Ophir et al., 2009; Sweller, 1988; Degen, 2025; Wolf, 2018)
IV. What role does chronic anxiety, reduced self-efficacy, and emotional dysregulation play in moderating cognitive performance among Generation Z? (Bandura, 1997; Kahneman, 2011; Neves, 2025)
V. Is the emerging concept of "brain rot" theoretically and empirically coherent as a behavioral-executive construct affecting cognitive performance? (Yousef et al., 2025)
VI. Does the available evidence support a biological decline hypothesis, or is a performance-impairment hypothesis rooted in environmental cognitive restructuring?

2.4. Conceptual Clarification of the Research Gap

The literature reveals several fragmented but insufficiently integrated research traditions. Psychometric intelligence research provides robust historical analysis of cognitive trends but often operates independently of digital ecology considerations (Flynn, 2007; Henss, 2024). International assessment research documents performance decline yet rarely integrates cognitive load or executive function theory (OECD, 2023). Digital cognition research identifies attentional fragmentation and cognitive load amplification (Ophir et al., 2009; Sweller, 1988; Degen, 2025), but it is seldom directly linked to large-scale assessment outcomes. Psychosocial frameworks emphasize anxiety and emotional vulnerability (Neves, 2025; Haidt, 2024), yet they are rarely integrated into cognitive performance modeling.

The present study addresses this integrative gap by constructing a multi-layer explanatory framework connecting latent intelligence, attentional restructuring, emotional regulation, and measurable academic outcomes.

2.5. Research Objective

In light of the identified problem and gap, this research pursues the following objectives:

First, to clarify the conceptual distinction between latent intelligence and applied academic performance, grounding the analysis in psychometric and Reverse Flynn literature (Flynn, 2007; Henss, 2024; Woodley et al., 2013).

Second, to evaluate PISA 2022 findings within a longitudinal and structural framework (OECD, 2023).

Third, to analyze the cognitive mechanisms through which digital overexposure, multitasking, and extraneous cognitive load may impair executive functioning and sustained attention (Ophir et al., 2009; Sweller, 1988; Degen, 2025).

Fourth, to assess the moderating role of anxiety and self-efficacy in constraining cognitive bandwidth and performance (Bandura, 1997; Neves, 2025).

Fifth, to propose an integrative performance-impairment model capable of explaining observed academic declines without invoking deterministic biological regression.

2.6. Hypothesis Orientation

While this study is integrative and theoretical rather than experimental, it advances a guiding interpretative hypothesis: the recent decline in international academic performance does not reflect a biological reduction in intelligence but rather a performance-impairment phenomenon driven by digital attentional restructuring increased cognitive load, and psychosocial stressors.

This hypothesis is consistent with cognitive load theory (Sweller, 1988), dual-process models of reasoning (Kahneman, 2011), and executive function research (Ophir et al., 2009), while remaining aligned with psychometric intelligence theory.

2.7. Contribution of the Study

By explicitly linking psychometric research, international assessment data, digital cognitive restructuring theory, and psychosocial frameworks, this study advances an integrative explanatory model. It reframes generational cognitive decline narratives as ecological performance challenges rather than inherent deficits and provides a structured theoretical pathway for future empirical testing.

III. THEORETICAL REVIEW

3.1. Psychometric Intelligence Theory, the Flynn Effect, and Reverse Flynn Debates

The foundation of the present inquiry rests on psychometric intelligence theory and the historical trajectory of the Flynn Effect. Intelligence, conceptualized as a general cognitive ability encompassing reasoning, abstraction, and problem-solving capacity, has been empirically measured for over a century. Flynn (1987) demonstrated substantial intergenerational IQ gains across multiple nations, a phenomenon later termed the Flynn Effect. Flynn (2007, 2012) further argued that these gains reflected increasing societal emphasis on abstract and hypothetical reasoning rather than genetic evolution, suggesting that environmental complexity reshaped cognitive styles over time.

Ritchie (2016) reinforces the robustness of intelligence as a predictive construct, emphasizing that IQ remains one of the strongest correlates of educational and socioeconomic outcomes. Henss (2024) extends this cross-nationally, demonstrating strong correlations between national IQ averages and performance in international student assessments such as PISA. These findings confirm that intelligence remains central to understanding educational performance patterns.

However, debates regarding a potential Reverse Flynn Effect complicate the narrative. Woodley et al. (2013) suggested that reaction-time data might indicate long-term cognitive slowing compared to historical populations, though interpretations remain controversial. While the biological decline hypothesis remains debated, the existence of plateauing or declining IQ trends in some developed nations raises legitimate questions about environmental shifts.

The key theoretical distinction, therefore, is between latent intelligence and performance expression. Intelligence may remain relatively stable as a trait, yet its manifestation in academic settings may fluctuate depending on environmental conditions. This distinction becomes essential when interpreting PISA 2022 declines.

3.2. International Assessment Trends and Structural Performance Shifts

The OECD (2023) documented unprecedented declines in mathematics and reading performance in PISA 2022, with negative trends observable prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. Because PISA measures applied reasoning rather than raw IQ, it provides insight into the functioning of cognitive abilities within structured learning contexts.

Henss (2024) demonstrates that PISA outcomes correlate strongly with national IQ estimates, reinforcing their cognitive relevance. However, PISA performance depends heavily on sustained attention, executive control, and deep comprehension. Therefore, declining scores may reflect environmental constraints on cognitive engagement rather than reductions in intelligence itself.

The OECD (2021) report on 21st-century readers further highlights concerns regarding digital reading habits and shallow comprehension strategies. This institutional evidence strengthens the argument that contemporary cognitive environments may undermine deep reasoning skills essential for academic success.

3.3. Digital Cognitive Restructuring, Multitasking and Cognitive Load

One of the most significant environmental transformations of the twenty-first century has been the restructuring of attentional ecology through digital hyperconnectivity. Twenge (2017) identifies the widespread adoption of smartphones around 2012 as a turning point in adolescent development, coinciding with shifts in mental health indicators and daily behavior patterns.

Carr (2011) argued that digital environments encourage skimming and rapid switching rather than deep linear reading. Wolf (2018) extends this analysis neurologically, suggesting that sustained exposure to digital reading formats may weaken neural circuits responsible for deep comprehension and inferential reasoning. These arguments align with empirical findings on media multitasking. Ophir et al. (2009) demonstrated that heavy media multitaskers perform worse on tasks requiring cognitive control and filtering of irrelevant stimuli, contradicting the popular notion that digital natives possess superior multitasking abilities.

The transformation of cognitive ecology through digital media is not an entirely new concern. McLuhan (1964) famously argued that "the medium is the message," suggesting that communication technologies reshape not only information delivery but patterns of perception and cognition. Within this perspective, contemporary digital platforms do not merely transmit content; they structure attentional rhythms, processing speed, and cognitive habits. This insight anticipates contemporary findings that digital environments may privilege rapid stimulus-response cycles over sustained analytical engagement.

From a cognitive architecture perspective, Sweller's (1988) cognitive load theory provides a powerful explanatory mechanism. Learning efficiency declines when extraneous cognitive load overwhelms working memory. Working memory, as conceptualized by Baddeley (2012) and further examined by Cowan (2010), is a limited-capacity system essential for reasoning and comprehension. Frequent task-switching and digital interruptions increase extraneous load, thereby reducing encoding depth and long-term retention.

Mark (2023) provides longitudinal evidence that average sustained attention spans have shortened in digital work environments. This structural reduction in uninterrupted cognitive cycles directly undermines the conditions required for complex reasoning tasks such as those assessed in PISA. Therefore, digital multitasking, algorithm-driven engagement, and extraneous cognitive load collectively create an attentional ecosystem that may impair academic performance expression without diminishing latent intelligence.

3.4. Cognitive Offloading and External Memory Dependence

Digital environments also facilitate cognitive offloading. Sparrow et al. (2011) demonstrated that individuals are less likely to remember information when they expect it to be externally accessible, a phenomenon commonly referred to as the "Google effect." This shift from internal memory consolidation toward external retrieval systems alters how knowledge is structured and retained.

While cognitive offloading can enhance efficiency, overreliance on external memory systems may reduce the depth of internal conceptual integration. When academic tasks require synthesis, abstraction, and transfer rather than simple retrieval, shallow encoding may hinder performance. This mechanism complements cognitive load theory by suggesting that both attentional fragmentation and reduced consolidation may contribute to measurable performance decline.

3.5. Dual-Process Theory and the Shift Toward Fast Cognition

Kahneman's (2011) dual-process theory offers additional theoretical clarity. System 1 processing is fast, intuitive, and stimulus-driven, whereas System 2 processing is slow, analytical, and effortful. Digital platforms are engineered to maximize engagement through novelty and rapid feedback, reinforcing System 1 dominance. In contrast, academic reasoning tasks require sustained System 2 engagement.

If contemporary digital ecosystems increasingly privilege rapid-reactive processing, individuals may develop reduced tolerance for effortful analytical thinking. This shift does not imply reduced intelligence but suggests a change in habitual cognitive mode. When PISA tasks demand prolonged analytical reasoning, individuals conditioned toward rapid switching may experience greater cognitive fatigue or disengagement.

3.6. Anxiety, Self-Efficacy, and Cognitive Bandwidth

Cognitive performance is inseparable from emotional regulation. Haidt (2024) and Neves (2025) argue that Generation Z has developed within a psychosocial environment characterized by heightened anxiety, social comparison pressures, and reduced resilience. Bandura's (1997) theory of self-efficacy posits that individuals' beliefs about their capacity to manage challenges influence persistence and task engagement. Reduced self-efficacy under chronic stress conditions may lower motivation to sustain effort in cognitively demanding tasks.

Anxiety consumes working memory resources, reducing cognitive bandwidth available for reasoning. When combined with digital overstimulation, this may create a compound effect: attentional fragmentation increases extraneous load while anxiety further reduces available cognitive capacity. The interaction between these mechanisms provides a robust explanation for declining academic performance without invoking biological regression.

Empirical evidence supports the association between intensive media use and psychological strain. Rosen et al. (2014) found that media and technology use predicted ill-being among children and adolescents independent of exercise and dietary habits. Such findings suggest that digital exposure may contribute to emotional dysregulation beyond lifestyle confounds. Given that anxiety consumes working memory resources, increased psychological distress may further reduce cognitive bandwidth available for sustained reasoning tasks.

3.7. Brain Rot as Behavioral-Executive Phenomenon

Yousef et al. (2025) conceptualize "brain rot" as a pattern of cognitive deterioration associated with excessive consumption of trivial digital content. Although not a clinical diagnosis, the construct captures observable behavioral patterns linked to executive function impairment, reduced sustained attention, and increased psychological distress. When interpreted within the frameworks of cognitive load theory, dual-process theory, and working memory limitations, brain rot can be understood as a behavioral manifestation of attentional conditioning rather than neurological decay.

The OECD (2023) finding that students distracted by digital devices score significantly lower in mathematics reinforces the plausibility of executive interference. Thus, brain rot functions as a descriptive term for patterns of attentional erosion that impair performance expression.

3.8. Integrative Theoretical Synthesis

Synthesizing these theoretical strands reveals a coherent multi-layer explanatory framework. Psychometric intelligence remains robust and predictive (Flynn, 2007; Henss, 2024; Ritchie, 2016). International assessments document measurable performance declines (OECD, 2023). Digital multitasking and cognitive load amplification impair executive control (Ophir et al., 2009; Sweller, 1988). Deep reading circuitry may be weakened by digital formats (Wolf, 2018; Carr, 2011). Cognitive offloading reduces internal consolidation (Sparrow et al., 2011). Dual-process dynamics favor fast over slow cognition (Kahneman, 2011). Anxiety reduces working memory bandwidth (Bandura, 1997; Neves, 2025). Together, these mechanisms create environmental conditions that constrain the expression of cognitive potential.

This integrative framework reinforces the central argument of this study: that recent performance declines are more plausibly attributed to environmental performance constraints than to biological reductions in intelligence. The available evidence does not substantiate inherent cognitive regression; instead, it indicates that contemporary ecological conditions may erode sustained attention, executive functioning, and deep reasoning, resulting in measurable academic performance deterioration.

IV. METHODOLOGY APPLIED IN THIS RESEARCH

4.1. Research Design

This study adopts an integrative theoretical research design grounded in interdisciplinary synthesis. The central research problem concerns the interpretation of observed cognitive performance trends rather than the collection of new primary data. Accordingly, the methodological approach combines conceptual analysis, systematic literature integration, and structured secondary data interpretation. The objective is to construct an explanatory framework capable of evaluating whether recent declines in international academic performance reflect biological cognitive regression or environmental constraints on performance expression.

The research design is therefore interpretative-analytical and comparative in nature. It evaluates two competing explanatory hypotheses: first, a biological decline hypothesis suggesting a reversal of generational intelligence gains; and second, a performance-impairment hypothesis proposing that environmental transformations – particularly digital hyperconnectivity and psychosocial stress – constrain the expression of latent cognitive potential. Rather than assuming either position a priori, the study systematically examines whether available theoretical and empirical evidence aligns more consistently with one explanatory model than the other.

The design integrates established empirical findings from psychometric intelligence research (Flynn, 2007; Henss, 2024; Ritchie, 2016), international assessment data (OECD, 2023), cognitive load theory (Sweller, 1988), executive function research (Ophir et al., 2009; Baddeley, 2012), dual-process theory (Kahneman, 2011), cognitive offloading studies (Sparrow et al., 2011), and psychosocial models of anxiety and self-efficacy (Bandura, 1997; Neves, 2025). This interdisciplinary integration ensures that interpretations are grounded in convergent evidence rather than isolated claims.

4.2. Research Strategy: Integrative Review and Hypothesis Evaluation

The methodological strategy combines integrative literature review with structured hypothesis evaluation. An integrative review allows for the synthesis of diverse methodological traditions, including quantitative large-scale assessment data, psychometric analyses, cognitive psychology experiments, and psychosocial research. Unlike a narrow meta-analysis focused exclusively on effect sizes, this approach enables cross-domain theoretical alignment.

The literature selection was guided by relevance to the research questions articulated in Section 2. Sources were included if they met at least one of the following criteria: empirical grounding in large-scale international assessment data; foundational theoretical relevance to intelligence measurement; experimental evidence regarding cognitive load or executive function; or systematic review of digital behavioral impact. Priority was given to peer-reviewed publications and institutional reports.

Crucially, the evaluation process was comparative. For each research question, the analysis examined whether evidence more strongly supported a biological decline interpretation or an environmental performance-impairment explanation. For example, Reverse Flynn debates (Woodley et al., 2013) were considered alongside environmental cognitive load mechanisms (Sweller, 1988) and media multitasking findings (Ophir et al., 2009). This structured comparison enhances analytical rigor and prevents confirmation bias.

4.3. Theoretical Mechanism Mapping

To ensure systematic integration, the study employs explicit theoretical mechanism mapping. Each theoretical strand examined in Section 3 is analytically operationalized as a mechanism capable of influencing academic performance outcomes.

Cognitive load theory (Sweller, 1988) provides the mechanism of extraneous load amplification, whereby digital interruptions increase working memory burden and reduce learning efficiency. Executive function research (Ophir et al., 2009; Baddeley, 2012; Cowan, 2010) supplies the mechanism of cognitive control impairment and limited-capacity processing. Dual-process theory (Kahneman, 2011) offers a processing-mode shift mechanism, suggesting that environments privileging rapid stimulus-driven engagement may weaken sustained analytical reasoning. Cognitive offloading research (Sparrow et al., 2011) introduces the mechanism of reduced internal consolidation due to reliance on external memory systems. Psychosocial frameworks (Bandura, 1997; Neves, 2025) provide a motivational and emotional mediation mechanism, whereby anxiety and diminished self-efficacy reduce cognitive persistence and available bandwidth.

By mapping these mechanisms explicitly, the study moves beyond descriptive synthesis and constructs a structured explanatory model linking environmental variables to cognitive performance outcomes.

4.4. Conceptual Modeling Framework

The integrative model constructed in this research is layered and hierarchical. At its base lies latent intelligence, conceptualized as general cognitive potential measured through psychometric instruments (Flynn, 2007; Henss, 2024). The next layer comprises executive functioning and working memory capacity, which mediate the translation of cognitive potential into applied reasoning. Above this layer lies attentional ecology, shaped by digital multitasking, algorithmic reinforcement, and cognitive offloading (Ophir et al., 2009; Sparrow et al., 2011). A parallel moderating layer consists of emotional regulation and self-efficacy, influenced by psychosocial conditions (Bandura, 1997; Neves, 2025). The final layer is observable academic performance, as measured by international assessments such as PISA (OECD, 2023).

This layered structure allows the study to evaluate whether changes at the attentional and emotional levels plausibly account for measurable performance decline without requiring reduction in latent intelligence. The model therefore operationalizes the performance-impairment hypothesis in a structured and theoretically coherent manner.

4.5. Epistemological Positioning

The epistemological stance of this research remains critical-realist. The study assumes that observed declines in international academic performance are real phenomena requiring explanation, while maintaining that interpretation must differentiate between biological change and contextual constraint. Intelligence is treated as a measurable construct with predictive validity, yet its expression is recognized as environmentally contingent.

This positioning enables the study to engage with Reverse Flynn debates without adopting deterministic conclusions. It also allows the integration of psychological, educational, and technological research traditions within a unified explanatory framework.

4.6. Validity, Robustness, and Analytical Coherence

Validity in this research is established through theoretical triangulation. When independent literatures converge on compatible mechanisms – cognitive load amplification (Sweller, 1988), multitasking impairments (Ophir et al., 2009), reduced deep reading engagement (Wolf, 2018), attentional shortening (Mark, 2023), anxiety-mediated bandwidth reduction (Bandura, 1997; Neves, 2025), and measurable academic decline (OECD, 2023) – the plausibility of environmental performance impairment is strengthened.

Internal coherence is ensured by maintaining alignment between research questions, theoretical mechanisms, and interpretative conclusions. Each research question raised in Section 2 is methodologically addressed through comparative evaluation of competing hypotheses. External validity is supported by grounding the analysis in large-scale international data and widely replicated cognitive findings.

4.7. Limitations and Scope

Despite enhanced theoretical integration, this study remains limited by its reliance on secondary data synthesis rather than primary experimental measurement. Causal inference is therefore theoretical rather than experimentally demonstrated. While executive function research and cognitive load theory provide strong mechanistic plausibility, longitudinal neurocognitive cohort studies would further strengthen causal claims.

Additionally, while PISA provides robust cross-national comparison, it does not directly measure working memory or executive functioning. The link between digital exposure and performance decline remains inferential, though supported by convergent evidence.

These limitations delineate the scope of the study without undermining its theoretical contribution.

4.8. Methodological Contribution

By explicitly comparing competing hypotheses and mapping theoretical mechanisms across domains, this methodology advances beyond narrative literature review. It constructs a structured explanatory model capable of guiding future empirical testing. The approach clarifies that generational cognitive decline claims must be evaluated within a layered ecological framework rather than reduced to biological determinism.

This strengthened methodological structure provides a rigorous foundation for the Results and Discussion section, where the performance-impairment hypothesis is evaluated against available empirical evidence.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

5.1. Evaluating the Structural Nature of the PISA Decline

The first research question examined whether the declines documented in PISA 2022 represent temporary pandemic-related disruptions or structural shifts in academic performance. The OECD (2023) reports that mathematics performance declined by approximately 15 points and reading by roughly 10 points compared to 2018 levels, marking the largest downturn since the program's inception. Crucially, the OECD emphasizes that negative trends in reading and science were already observable prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. This temporal evidence weakens the explanation that school closures alone account for the magnitude of decline.

From a methodological standpoint, this finding is significant because it aligns temporally with the period of rapid smartphone diffusion and algorithm-driven platform expansion identified by Twenge (2017). The structural nature of the decline suggests that broader ecological transformations may be influencing academic performance. While pandemic-related disruptions likely exacerbated learning loss, the data indicate that performance deterioration was underway before 2020. Therefore, in response to the first research question, the evidence supports a structural interpretation rather than a purely episodic one.

This structural interpretation necessitates examination of environmental cognitive mechanisms capable of producing long-term shifts in performance patterns.

5.2. Distinguishing Biological Decline from Performance Impairment

The second research question addressed whether declining academic performance can be interpreted as declining intelligence. Psychometric intelligence research continues to demonstrate that general cognitive ability remains a robust predictor of socioeconomic outcomes (Ritchie, 2016; Henss, 2024). Flynn (2007, 2012) argued that intelligence gains historically reflected environmental shifts rather than genetic change, implying that environmental factors remain central to cognitive trajectories.

Although Reverse Flynn debates have raised the possibility of stagnation or decline (Woodley et al., 2013), there is no conclusive global evidence of widespread biological regression in intelligence. Moreover, PISA assessments measure applied reasoning under contextual conditions rather than latent cognitive potential in isolation. The methodological framework developed in Section 4 distinguishes between latent intelligence and performance expression, enabling more precise interpretation.

The evidence reviewed does not demonstrate direct psychometric decline in IQ corresponding to PISA performance losses. Rather, it indicates divergence between cognitive potential and its applied expression. Thus, in response to the second research question, declining academic performance should not be equated automatically with declining intelligence. The performance-impairment hypothesis provides a more coherent explanation within the available evidence base.

5.3. Digital Multitasking, Cognitive Load, and Executive Function

The third research question examined how digital behaviors affect sustained attention and executive functioning. Empirical evidence from Ophir et al. (2009) demonstrates that heavy media multitaskers exhibit reduced cognitive control and filtering efficiency. These findings directly challenge the notion that digital natives possess superior attentional flexibility. Instead, they suggest diminished executive control under conditions of chronic multitasking.

Sweller's (1988) cognitive load theory provides a mechanism for understanding how digital environments increase extraneous load. When working memory – conceptualized as a limited-capacity system (Baddeley, 2012; Cowan, 2010) – is burdened by frequent interruptions, encoding efficiency declines. Learning requires sustained engagement within cognitive cycles long enough to consolidate information into long-term memory. Digital interruptions fragment these cycles.

Mark (2023) provides longitudinal evidence that average sustained attention spans have shortened in digital environments, reinforcing the structural nature of attentional change. Wolf (2018) further argues that digital reading formats may weaken deep inferential processing circuits, which are essential for complex comprehension tasks such as those assessed in PISA reading sections.

Collectively, these findings establish a plausible cognitive mechanism linking digital behavior to reduced academic performance. In response to the third research question, the evidence strongly supports the conclusion that digital multitasking and extraneous cognitive load impair executive functioning and sustained attention, thereby constraining applied reasoning performance.

5.4. Cognitive Offloading and Internal Consolidation

Beyond multitasking, cognitive offloading provides an additional explanatory layer. Sparrow et al. (2011) demonstrated that individuals are less likely to encode information deeply when they expect external retrieval access. This "Google effect" suggests a shift from internal consolidation to external dependency.

While cognitive offloading may increase efficiency in certain contexts, it reduces the necessity of internal memory integration. Academic tasks requiring synthesis, abstraction, and transfer depend on robust internal knowledge networks. If learners increasingly rely on external digital memory systems, internal consolidation depth may decline. This mechanism complements cognitive load amplification by suggesting that both fragmentation and reduced consolidation contribute to performance impairment.

5.5. Emotional Moderation, Self-Efficacy, and Dual-Process Dynamics

The fourth research question examined whether anxiety and psychosocial stress moderate cognitive performance. Neves (2025) identifies elevated anxiety levels and reduced tolerance for ambiguity among Generation Z. Bandura's (1997) self-efficacy theory suggests that diminished confidence in managing complex tasks reduces persistence and cognitive effort. When anxiety consumes working memory resources, available bandwidth for reasoning decreases.

Kahneman's (2011) dual-process theory further clarifies the interaction between digital ecology and cognitive mode. Digital platforms privilege rapid, stimulus-driven System 1 processing, while academic reasoning demands sustained System 2 engagement. If individuals become habituated to fast-reactive cognitive patterns, prolonged analytical reasoning may become more cognitively taxing.

Thus, emotional strain and processing-mode shifts operate as moderating variables within the layered conceptual model. In response to the fourth research question, the evidence supports the conclusion that anxiety and reduced self-efficacy likely amplify the performance-impairment effect.

5.6. Brain Rot as Behavioral Manifestation of Executive Erosion

The fifth research question evaluated the coherence of the "brain rot" construct. Yousef et al. (2025) identify patterns of executive dysfunction, reduced sustained attention, and psychological distress associated with excessive exposure to trivial digital content. When interpreted within cognitive load and dual-process frameworks, brain rot represents behavioral conditioning toward shallow engagement rather than neurological degeneration.

The OECD (2023) finding that students distracted by digital devices score lower in mathematics reinforces the plausibility of executive interference effects. Brain rot thus functions as a descriptive term capturing observable attentional and executive erosion consistent with experimental evidence from multitasking and cognitive load research.

In response to the fifth research question, the concept is theoretically coherent when understood as a behavioral-executive phenomenon embedded within digital attentional ecology.

5.7. Comparative Evaluation of Competing Hypotheses

The final research question required comparative evaluation of the biological decline hypothesis and the environmental performance-impairment hypothesis. The biological decline hypothesis would predict measurable reductions in latent intelligence independent of contextual factors. However, psychometric research continues to affirm the predictive stability of intelligence (Ritchie, 2016; Henss, 2024), and evidence for global biological regression remains inconclusive.

In contrast, the environmental hypothesis is supported by convergent evidence across multiple domains: media multitasking impairs cognitive control (Ophir et al., 2009), extraneous cognitive load reduces learning efficiency (Sweller, 1988), digital reading weakens deep processing (Wolf, 2018), cognitive offloading reduces consolidation (Sparrow et al., 2011), anxiety reduces working memory bandwidth (Bandura, 1997), and structural academic decline is observable internationally (OECD, 2023).

The convergence of independent mechanisms strongly favors the performance-impairment interpretation. Therefore, in response to RQ6, the environmental cognitive restructuring hypothesis provides a more parsimonious and empirically consistent explanation than the biological decline hypothesis.

5.8. Synthesis: Environmental Cognitive Constraint Model

Synthesizing the findings across all research questions, the layered conceptual model introduced in Section 4 receives empirical support. Latent intelligence remains relatively stable, but executive functioning mediates its translation into applied reasoning. Digital multitasking and cognitive load amplify extraneous demands, while cognitive offloading reduces internal consolidation. Emotional strain and dual-process conditioning further constrain sustained analytical engagement. The cumulative effect is measurable academic performance decline without demonstrated biological regression.

This synthesis resolves the central paradox motivating this research. The apparent reversal of generational cognitive gains does not necessarily indicate reduced intelligence. Rather, it reflects a transformation in cognitive ecology that constrains the conditions under which intelligence is optimally expressed.

VI. Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to examine whether recent declines in international academic performance – particularly those documented in PISA 2022 – indicate a genuine generational cognitive decline or reflect environmental conditions that constrain the expression of cognitive potential. For much of the twentieth century, intelligence research documented sustained intergenerational gains in measured IQ, widely interpreted as evidence of environmental enrichment and increasing cognitive complexity (Flynn, 1987, 2007; Henss, 2024). The apparent plateauing or reversal of these gains has therefore generated understandable concern. However, the evidence synthesized in this study suggests that caution is warranted before drawing conclusions regarding inherent generational decline.

The decline observed in PISA 2022 appears structural rather than episodic. Downward trends in reading and mathematics were already evident prior to the COVID-19 pandemic (OECD, 2023), indicating that temporary disruption alone cannot account for the magnitude of performance loss. At the same time, psychometric research continues to affirm the predictive robustness of general intelligence (Ritchie, 2016; Henss, 2024), and there is insufficient evidence of widespread biological regression. The divergence between stable intelligence constructs and declining applied academic performance therefore requires explanation beyond innate cognitive deterioration.

The convergence of multiple theoretical and empirical strands points toward environmental cognitive restructuring as a more parsimonious account. Digital multitasking has been shown to reduce cognitive control and filtering efficiency (Ophir et al., 2009), while cognitive load theory explains how extraneous attentional demands impair learning efficiency (Sweller, 1988). Deep reading research suggests that digital environments may weaken inferential processing circuits essential for complex comprehension (Wolf, 2018; Carr, 2011). As McLuhan (1964) anticipated decades ago, media environments shape cognitive patterns as much as they convey information. Contemporary digital ecosystems may therefore be restructuring attentional and emotional conditions under which intelligence operates. Cognitive offloading reduces internal consolidation when information is expected to remain externally accessible (Sparrow et al., 2011). Simultaneously, heightened anxiety and reduced self-efficacy consume working memory resources, diminishing available cognitive bandwidth (Bandura, 1997; Neves, 2025). Dual-process theory further clarifies that environments privileging rapid, intuitive processing may reduce engagement in sustained analytical reasoning (Kahneman, 2011).

When considered collectively, these mechanisms provide a coherent explanation for declining academic performance without invoking biological decline. Intelligence as a latent construct remains intact, yet its expression depends on attentional stability, executive control, and emotional regulation. Contemporary digital and psychosocial environments may erode these mediating conditions, thereby constraining measurable academic outcomes.

This interpretation reframes the generational cognitive debate. Rather than characterizing Generation Z as inherently less intelligent, the evidence suggests that the ecological architecture within which intelligence operates has changed profoundly. If historical IQ gains reflected environments that increasingly rewarded abstraction and sustained reasoning, contemporary environments may privilege rapid responsiveness, continuous partial attention, and externalized cognition. The resulting tension between environmental incentives and academic demands may produce measurable performance decline.

The implications of this conclusion are substantial. If performance deterioration is environmentally mediated, it is also potentially reversible. Educational systems may need to emphasize sustained attention training, deep reading practices, and structured device management. Policymakers may consider how algorithmic amplification and platform design influence attentional habits. Public health initiatives may address anxiety reduction and digital hygiene as cognitive resilience strategies. The focus shifts from diagnosing generational deficit to redesigning cognitive ecosystems.

Nevertheless, limitations remain. The integrative design relies on secondary data synthesis and theoretical mechanism mapping rather than direct experimental testing. Longitudinal neurocognitive research examining executive function trajectories across digitally immersed cohorts would strengthen causal inference. Experimental interventions reducing digital multitasking and measuring subsequent changes in academic performance would provide further empirical clarity. Cross-national comparisons exploring differences in digital regulation, educational structure, and assessment trends may also illuminate moderating factors.

Ultimately, the question is not whether intelligence is disappearing, but whether the conditions necessary for its optimal expression are being compromised. The evidence suggests that contemporary ecological transformations – particularly those driven by digital hyperconnectivity and psychosocial strain – may alter attentional architecture in ways that constrain deep reasoning and sustained learning. Addressing this challenge requires neither technological rejection nor generational pessimism, but careful institutional adaptation grounded in cognitive science.

If intelligence remains one of the strongest predictors of human flourishing, then safeguarding the environmental conditions that cultivate its full expression becomes an urgent societal task.

REFERENCES

[1] Galton, F. (1869). Hereditary genius: An inquiry into its laws and consequences. Macmillan.

[2] Flynn, J. R. (1987). Massive IQ gains in 14 nations: What IQ tests really measure. Psychological Bulletin, 101(2), 171–191. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.101.2.171

[3] Flynn, J. R. (2007). What is intelligence? Beyond the Flynn effect. Cambridge University Press.

[4] Flynn, J. R. (2012). Are we getting smarter? Rising IQ in the twenty-first century. Cambridge University Press.

[5] Ritchie, S. (2016). Intelligence: All that matters. Hodder & Stoughton.

[6] Woodley , M. A., Nijenhuis, J., Murphy, R. (2013). Were the Victorians cleverer than us? The decline in general intelligence estimated from a meta-analysis of the slowing of simple reaction time. Intelligence, 41(6), 843–850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2013.04.006

[7] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). PISA 2022 results (Volume I): The state of learning and equity in education. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/53f23881-en

[8] Henss, R. (2024). The intelligence of nations: National IQs and correlates. Qeios. https://doi.org/10.32388/6IBSKW

[9] Twenge, J. M. (2017). iGen: Why today's super-connected kids are growing up less rebellious, more tolerant, less happy—and completely unprepared for adulthood. Atria Books.

[10] Carr, N. (2011). The shallows: What the Internet is doing to our brains. W. W. Norton.

[11] Ophir, E., Nass, C., & Wagner, A. D. (2009). Cognitive control in media multitaskers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106(37), 15583–15587. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903620106

[12] Kirschner, P. A., & De Bruyckere, P. (2017). The myths of the digital native and multitasker. Teaching and Teacher Education, 67, 135–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.06.001

[13] Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. Cognitive Science, 12(2), 257–285. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog1202_4

[14] Baddeley, A. D. (2012). Working memory: Theories, models, and controversies. Annual Review of Psychology, 63, 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-120710-100422

[15] Sparrow, B., Liu, J., & Wegner, D. M. (2011). Google effects on memory: Cognitive consequences of having information at our fingertips. Science, 333(6043), 776–778. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207745

[16] Haidt, J. (2024). The anxious generation: How the great rewiring of childhood is causing an epidemic of mental illness. Penguin Press.

[17] Neves, H. C. (2025). The anxious generation theory and Generation Z behaviour in the workplace: A correlation analysis. International Journal of Business Administration, 16(1), 74–82. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijba.v16n1p74

[18] Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. W.H. Freeman.

[19] Mark, G. (2023). Attention span: A groundbreaking way to restore balance, happiness and productivity. Hanover Square Press.

[20] Wolf, M. (2018). Reader, come home: The reading brain in a digital world. HarperCollins.

[21] Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, fast and slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

[22] Degen, R. J. (2025). The cognitive decline of Generation Z: Technological dependence, digital overexposure and the historic collapse of PISA performance. International Journal on Integrating Technology in Education, 14(4), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.5121/ijite.2025.14401

[23] McLuhan, M. (1964). Understanding media: The extensions of man. McGraw-Hill.

[24] Rosen, L. D., Lim, A. F., Felt, J., Carrier, L. M., Cheever, N. A., Lara-Ruiz, J., Mendoza, J. S., & Rokkum, J. (2014). Media and technology use predicts ill-being among children, preteens and teenagers independent of the negative health impacts of exercise and eating habits. Computers in Human Behavior, 35, 364–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.01.036

[25] Yousef, A. M. F., Alshamy, A., Tlili, A., & Metwally, A. H. S. (2025). Demystifying the new dilemma of brain rot in the digital era: A review. Brain Sciences, 15(3), 283. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci15030283

[26] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2021). 21st-century readers: Developing literacy skills in a digital world. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/a83d84cb-en

[27] Cowan, N. (2010). The magical mystery four: How is working memory capacity limited, and why? Current Directions in Psychological Science, 19(1), 51–57. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721409359277